October 2007



#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

2006–2007 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test™ (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. This year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test™ was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Due to the inclusion of the additional items in mathematics, it was necessary to set new achievement level standards for that discipline this year. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards were not changed for the Critical Reading and Writing sections of the MHSA.

These 2006-2007 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance on the SAT in critical reading, mathematics, and writing reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for many students may also be used for college admission, they may not be used for that purpose if a student received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board.

These results reflect scores based on SAT and Math-A test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The SAT Reasoning Test™ employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics questions, and select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat\_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Lusan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



# High School Report

Test Date: May 2007 ID: 10971300

District: Madawaska School Department

School: Madawaska Middle/High School

#### **Contents of the Report**

The report is divided into five main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9



#### **SUMMARY OF SCORES**


Date: May 2007


District: Madawaska School Department School: Madawaska Middle/High School


### Summary of School, District, and State Scores


**Average Scaled Score** 

Year		<b>3</b>	
icui	School	District	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007	1141	1142	1141
Mathematics 2006–2007	1141	1142	1140
Writing 2006–2007	1144	1144	1141











### **SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION**

Date: May 2007

		En	rol	lme	nt¹								CC	ראכ	ΓΕΝ	TI	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	TIC	IPA	TIC	N <sup>2</sup>	?					
CATEGORY OF	•	during				w		С	ritical	Read	ing				Mathe	matics	s				Wri	iting								
PARTICIPATION	Sc	hool	Dis	strict	S	tate	Scl	hool	Dis	strict	St	ate	Scl	hool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	Dis	trict	St	ate	Sc	hool	Dis	trict	St	tate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	59	100	52	100	16094	100	58	98	51	98	15236	95	58	98	51	98	15599	97	58	98	51	98	15229	95						
Ethnicity African American	0	0	0	0	333	2	0	0	0	0	295	89	0	0	0	0	308	92	0	0	0	0	294	88						
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0	0	0	0	91	1	0	0	0	0	81	89	0	0	0	0	84	92	0	0	0	0	81	89						
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	2	1	2	226	1	1	100	1	100	196	87	1	100	1	100	204	90	1	100	1	100	193	85						
Hispanic	1	2	1	2	140	1	1	100	1	100	124	89	1	100	1	100	130	93	1	100	1	100	124	89						
White	57	97	50	96	15304	95	56	98	49	98	14540	95	56	98	49	98	14873	97	56	98	49	98	14537	95						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Identified disability	11	19	9	17	2351	15	10	91	8	89	2047	87	10	91	8	89	2169	93	10	91	8	89	2044	87						
Current LEP	4	7	3	6	285	2	4	100	3	100	237	83	4	100	3	100	250	88	4	100	3	100	233	82						
Economically disadvantaged	20	34	16	31	3924	24	19	95	15	94	3561	91	19	95	15	94	3702	94	19	95	15	94	3558	91						
Migrant	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	100						

MODE OF		(	Critica	Reac	ling				Mathe	ematic	s				Wr	ting								
	Sc	hool	D	strict	St	ate	Sc	nool	Dis	strict	St	ate	Sch	nool	Dis	trict	St	ate	Scl	nool	Dis	trict	St	ate
PARTICIPATION <sup>3</sup>	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations	54	92	49	94	13484	84	54	92	49	94	13851	86	54	92	49	94	13484	84						
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	6	11	6	12	743	6	6	11	6	12	865	6	6	11	6	12	743	6						
LEP	3	6	3	6	187	1	3	6	3	6	204	1	3	6	3	6	187	1						
504 plan	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0						
Participation with accommodations	4	7	2	4	1570	10	4	7	2	4	1569	10	4	7	2	4	1570	10						
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	4	100	2	100	1127	72	4	100	2	100	1126	72	4	100	2	100	1127	72						
LEP	1	25	0	0	46	3	1	25	0	0	46	3	1	25	0	0	46	3						
504 plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Other	0	0	0	0	407	26	0	0	0	0	407	26	0	0	0	0	407	26						
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	0	0	0	0	178	1	0	0	0	0	179	1	0	0	0	0	175	1						
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	0	0	0	177	99	0	0	0	0	178	99	0	0	0	0	174	99						
LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
504 plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0	0	0	4	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	0						
Non-participation – other	1	2	1	2	844	5	1	2	1	2	481	3	1	2	1	2	851	5						

<sup>1</sup> Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.



### CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Date: May 2007

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a studen on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>		ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVEI	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea		Sch	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Exceeds the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	2	3	2	3	1079	7
	<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1168</b>	<b>8</b>
	Cum. Avg.	3	5	3	5	1124	7
<b>Meets the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	26	42	25	42	5697	38
	2006-2007	<b>26</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>5714</b>	<b>38</b>
	Cum. Avg.	26	43	25	45	5706	38
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	26	42	25	42	4772	32
	<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4728</b>	<b>31</b>
	Cum. Avg.	19	32	18	32	4750	31
<b>Does Not Meet the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	8	13	7	12	3595	24
	<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3444</b>	<b>23</b>
	Cum. Avg.	12	20	10	18	3520	23



## CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007

					Sch	ool							Dis	trict				State						
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score		
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore		
All Students	58	4	7	26	45	12	21	16	28	1141	51	8	47	20	25	1142	15054	8	38	31	23	1141		
Ethnicity																								
African American	0										0						290	2	21	26	52	1131		
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0										0						78	4	28	33	35	1135		
Asian/Pacific Islander	1										1						193	7	33	34	26	1139		
Hispanic	1										1						123	6	28	34	33	1137		
White	56	4	7	26	46	12	21	14	25	1142	49	8	49	20	22	1143	14370	8	39	31	22	1141		
Not Reported	0										0						0							
Identified disability	40	0			40		40		00	1100			40	40	75	1100	1070		40	00	00	1107		
Yes	10 48	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1129	8	0	13	13	75	1130	1870	1	10	26	63	1127		
No	48	4	8	25	52	11	23	8	17	1144	43	9	53	21	16	1145	13184	9	42	32	17	1142		
Limited English proficient students																								
Current LEP in first year	0										0						7	0	0	0	100	1122		
Current LEP beyond first year	4										3						226	1	10	25	64	1127		
, ,																								
Economically disadvantaged																								
Yes	19	0	0	5	26	7	37	7	37	1134	15	0	27	33	40	1134	3464	3	25	34	37	1134		
No	39	4	10	21	54	5	13	9	23	1145	36	11	56	14	19	1146	11590	9	42	31	19	1142		
Migrant																								
Yes	0										0						1							
No	58	4	7	26	45	12	21	16	28	1141	51	8	47	20	25	1142	15053	8	38	31	23	1141		
140		·				"-		"			0.						10000		00	"				
Gender																								
Female	25	3	12	9	36	5	20	8	32	1141	23	13	39	22	26	1143	7401	8	40	33	19	1142		
Male	33	1	3	17	52	7	21	8	24	1141	28	4	54	18	25	1142	7653	8	36	29	27	1140		
Not Reported	0										0						0							
Title 1 A targeted program																								
Title 1A targeted program	0										0						68	1	21	32	46	1131		
Yes No	58	4	7	00	45	10	01	10	28	1141	51	8	47	20	0.5	1142	14986	8	38	31	23			
INU	56	4	′	26	45	12	21	16	20	1141	51	°	4/	20	25	1142	14980	ō	36	31	۷۵	1141		
Gifted/talented program																								
Yes	0										0						1							
No	58	4	7	26	45	12	21	16	28	1141	51	8	47	20	25	1142	15053	8	38	31	23	1141		
															-				1					
			İ																					



#### MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Date: May 2007

District: Madawaska School Department School: Madawaska Middle/High School

**ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:** Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL\* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School District State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 2 1 2 2006-2007 578 1 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 5481 36 21 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 20 34 19 37 4754 31 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140) **Does Not Meet the Standards** – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2006-2007 13 22 10 20 4607 30 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)

<sup>\*</sup>Standards were reset for mathematics in 2007 so historical data are not available.



## MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007

					Sch	nool							Dis	trict								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jour	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	JCOIE
All Students	58	1	2	24	41	20	34	13	22	1141	51	2	41	37	20	1142	15420	4	36	31	30	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American	0										0						304	1	13	27	59	1133
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0										0						81	2	16	42	40	1137
Asian/Pacific Islander	1 1										1						204	6	40	25	29	1142
Hispanic	1 1										1						129	3	29	25	43	1138
White	56	1	2	24	43	20	36	11	20	1142	49	2	43	39	16	1142	14702	4	36	31	29	1141
Not Reported	0		_						-		0	_					0		"		-	
Not Hoportod																						
Identified disability																						
Yes	10	0	0	1	10	2	20	7	70	1133	8	0	13	25	63	1133	1991	0	6	18	75	1131
No	48	1	2	23	48	18	38	6	13	1143	43	2	47	40	12	1143	13429	4	40	33	23	1142
Limited English proficient students	_																	_				
Current LEP in first year	0										0						7	0	0	0	100	1125
Current LEP beyond first year	4										3						243	2	14	19	65	1133
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	19	0	0	7	37	6	32	6	32	1138	15	0	33	33	33	1138	3606	1	20	31	48	1136
No	39	1	3	17	44	14	36	7	18	1143	36	3	44	39	14	1143	11814	5	40	31	24	1142
		-						'			"							-				
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	58	1	2	24	41	20	34	13	22	1141	51	2	41	37	20	1142	15419	4	36	31	30	1140
Gender																						
	05	0			00		00	,	00	1140	00		00	00	00	1110	7500	0	05	00	00	1110
Female	25 33	0 1	0 3	9	36 45	9	36	7	28 18	1140	23	0 4	39	39 36	22	1140	7566	3 5	35	33	29	1140
Male Net Bereited	0	ı	3	15	45	11	33	6	18	1143	28	4	43	36	18	1143	7854 0	5	36	29	31	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						73	0	14	26	60	1134
No	58	1	2	24	41	20	34	13	22	1141	51	2	41	37	20	1142	15347	4	36	31	30	1140
														-								
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	58	1	2	24	41	20	34	13	22	1141	51	2	41	37	20	1142	15419	4	36	31	30	1140
			1		1				!					1	!				1	!	1	



#### **WRITING RESULTS**

**Does Not Meet the Standards** – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage

errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking,

with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay

is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)

Date: May 2007

District: Madawaska School Department School: Madawaska Middle/High School

3

12

3221

3227

3224

2

6

12

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	-	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	/EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling ider	ntified	Sch	nool	Dist	trict	Sta	ate
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combin multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	2	3	2	3	952	6
	2006-2007	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>937</b>	<b>6</b>
	Cum. Avg.	3	5	3	5	945	6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	29	47	28	47	6055	40
	2006-2007	<b>29</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>6167</b>	<b>41</b>
	Cum. Avg.	29	48	27	48	6111	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	28	45	27	46	4916	32
	2006-2007	<b>19</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4723</b>	<b>31</b>
	Cum. Avg.	24	39	22	39	4820	32

2005-2006

2006-2007

Cum. Avg.

7

21

21

21



## WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007

					Sch	nool							Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mear Scale
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jule	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	30016
All Students	58	3	5	29	50	19	33	7	12	1144	51	6	51	31	12	1144	15054	6	41	31	21	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American	0										0						290	1	21	31	47	1132
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0										0						78	4	29	37	29	1136
Asian/Pacific Islander	1										1						193	6	31	35	28	1138
Hispanic	1										1						123	4	30	33	33	1137
White	56	3	5	29	52	19	34	5	9	1144	49	6	53	33	8	1145	14370	6	42	31	21	1141
Not Reported	0				-						0	-					0		_			
Not Hoportod																						
Identified disability																						
Yes	10	0	0	1	10	4	40	5	50	1130	8	0	13	38	50	1130	1870	0	8	27	65	1127
No	48	3	6	28	58	15	31	2	4	1146	43	7	58	30	5	1147	13184	7	46	32	15	1143
Limited English proficient students	_										_						_	_				
Current LEP in first year	0										0						7	0	0	0	100	1117
Current LEP beyond first year	4										3						226	1	10	25	63	1128
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	19	0	0	9	47	7	37	3	16	1139	15	0	47	33	20	1138	3464	2	26	36	37	1134
No	39	3	8	20	51	12	31	4	10	1146	36	8	53	31	8	1147	11590	8	45	30	17	1143
		-										-						-				
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	58	3	5	29	50	19	33	7	12	1144	51	6	51	31	12	1144	15053	6	41	31	21	1141
Gender																						
	05			10	40		00		40	1144		,	50	00	40	1444	7404	_	40	04	45	4440
Female	25 33	1 2	4 6	12 17	48 52	9	36	3 4	12 12	1144	23	4 7	52	30 32	13	1144	7401	7 5	46	31	15	1143
Male Net Bereited	0	2	ь	17	52	10	30	4	12	1144	28 0	′	50	32	11	1144	7653 0	5	36	32	28	1138
Not Reported	0										0						"					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						68	0	15	43	43	1131
No	58	3	5	29	50	19	33	7	12	1144	51	6	51	31	12	1144	14986	6	41	31	21	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	58	3	5	29	50	19	33	7	12	1144	51	6	51	31	12	1144	15053	6	41	31	21	1141
					1				-													